

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,736	03/30/2004	Michael E. McClurken	13045.41USW1	8083
23552	7590 10/10/2006		EXAMINER	
	T & GOULD PC		ROLLINS, ROSILAND STACIE	
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3739	
			DATE MAILED: 10/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(a)
Office Action Summers			Applicant(s)
		10/813,736	MCCLURKEN ET AL.
O	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Rosiland S. Rollins	3739
The Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication app ply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
WHICHEV - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to rein Any reply received.	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOF time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. For reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period very ply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ceived by the Office later than three months after the mailing in term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply b will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS 1, cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)☐ This	oonsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 M</u> action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This e this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final.	prosecution as to the merits is
<i>'</i> —	ed in accordance with the practice under E	•	•
Disposition of	·		,
4a) C 5)	m(s) <u>1-27 and 40-46</u> is/are pending in the aport of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn(s) is/are allowed.  m(s) <u>1-27 and 40-46</u> is/are rejected.  m(s) is/are objected to.  m(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Application P	apers		
10)☐ The c Appli Repla	specification is objected to by the Examine drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a contract may not request that any objection to the accement drawing sheet(s) including the correct path or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under	<sup>,</sup> 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	common copies or and proving document.	s have been received. s have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage
2) Notice of Do	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) )/Mail Date <u>8/23/04;6/24/04</u> .	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	il Date

Application/Control Number: 10/813,736

Art Unit: 3739

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "said out" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Panescu et al. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Panescu et al. (US 6056745). Panescu et al. disclose an electrosurgical device having a proximal end and a distal end, the device comprising: a handle; a shaft extending from the handle, the shaft having a distal end; a fluid passage being connectable to a fluid source; an electrode tip having an electrode surface, at least a

Art Unit: 3739

portion of the electrode tip extending distally beyond the distal end of the shaft; and at least one fluid outlet opening in fluid communication with the fluid passage and the at least one fluid outlet opening is arranged to provide a fluid from the fluid source to the electrode tip.

Panescu et al. teach all of the limitations of the claims except the portion of the electrode tip extending distally beyond the distal end of the shaft comprising a cone shaped portion and at least a portion of the electrode surface has a contact angle with a fluid from the fluid source of less than 90 degrees. To have provided a cone shaped electrode would have been an obvious modification to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a shape on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rosiland S. Rollins whose telephone number is (571) 272-4772. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,736

Art Unit: 3739

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Rosiland S Rollins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3739

Page 4